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Cosmic Rays

Charged particles accelerated to relativistic speeds

Spectrum is very well known (flux versus energy), but interact with IMB

EM - fast moving highly magnetized objects accelerate these particles

EM - magnetic Shock fronts accelerate through diffusive shock acceleration

Grav - supernovae or black holes use their immense gravitational fields to accelerate charged particles

Mechanisms upon which they are accelerated is still a topic of discussion
γ-Ray Production

Produced when high energy cosmic rays interact with particles around them

hadronic - Neutral Pion Decay from PP interaction

leptonic - Inverse Compton Scattering

Able to be measured

Non-thermal

Can use SED Models to distinguish between production mechanisms
PeVatrons

Cosmic particle accelerators that accelerate to >1PeV!

Some of the least well understood objects in the universe

Only a handful of candidates for pevatrons

Interesting new physics that reach beyond what we can do even in the largest accelerators on Earth

Image Credit: Chandra
Supernova Remnants and Pulsar Wind Nebulae

SNR - Resulting structure when a supernova explodes

PWN - Nebula with stellar winds powered by a central pulsar

Both SNRs and PWNs are pevatron candidates
Motivation - LHAASO Sources

Brand new detector in Daocheng, Sichuan, China and is a continuous full sky detector

Made up of three different arrays, current run uses only two (WCDA, KM2A)

WCDA - Water Cherenkov Detector Array (GeV-TeV)

KM2A - Kilometer squared electron muon Detector Array (TeV-PeV)

Covers ~4 decades of energy up to >1PeV

In first catalog added 90 new gamma-ray sources, 43 potential PeV sources “PeVatrons”
Motivation - LHAASO Sources

These new VHE and UHE sources can provide insight into new physics of the violent universe.

Answer the question “Where do the highest energy cosmic rays come from?”

Answer the question “What mechanisms produce these cosmic rays?”

Some of the new sources are extended sources.

Some known sources that are connected to the LHAASO sources.

Cao et al. 2023
Motivation - HESS J1857+026 (Patriella et al. 2021)

MAGIC previously this source to a PWN with two distinct sources

Did a radio study and found a superbubble around the source

Superbubble coincident with pulsar PSR J1856+0245 hinting at 1 gamma ray source not two

Interesting physics for gamma ray emission from interactions with a superbubble

Good target for VERITAS analysis, but need to deal with extended nature of source (~0.20°)
Imaging Atmospheric Cherenkov Telescopes (IACTs)

Gamma-rays and cosmic rays interact with atmosphere

Use that interaction to our advantage

Incoming gamma/cosmic rays hit atmosphere and give off Cherenkov light through pair production or pion decay

Can measure the Cherenkov light showers with telescopes

Reconstruct energy and direction through Hillas parameters
VERITAS (Very Energetic Radiation Imaging Telescope Array System)

Telescope array at the Whipple Observatory in Arizona

Made up of four 12m Cherenkov telescopes

Measures gamma/cosmic rays in the 80GeV-50TeV range (VHE)

Small FOV but good angular resolution

Image Credit: VERITAS
Background Events for Gamma-ray Astronomy

Galaxy is filled with cosmic rays and gamma rays so cannot just point at a source and expect to “see” it.

Cosmic-ray misidentified as gamma-ray

Use background estimations to fit acceptance functions to the sky.

Image Credit: Karle 2006
Ring Background Method

Draw a ring around the source region to estimate the background with data in ring

Can then fit an acceptance function to that data to create the background

The setting for that function is “smoothed” but it resembles just a curve

Image Credit: D. Berge 2006
RBM (1D Example) (Units are not exactly correct!)
Statistics (Li and Ma 1983)

Use Li and Ma statistics to assign significance to data above the background

This assumes the background of these sources is roughly Poissonian

Can assign each pixel a significance and create plots out of that $S$
VEGAS (VERITAS Gamma-ray Analysis Suite)

VEGAS is comprised of 6 stages which act to analyze the data from the VERITAS telescope

Stage 1 - get data and calibrate

Stage 2/3 - subtract out noise and clean each pixel, create map and clean map

Stage 4 - Hillas parameter reconstruction for each event

Stage 5 - create necessary root files from the entire data set

Stage 6 - create the plots and skymaps of the data runs
Validation (the Crab Nebula and quasar 3C 273)

Guiding question - How does differing the exclusion region in VEGAS affect the background estimation?

the Crab and 3C 273 with different exclusion regions

the Crab - supernova remnant and pulsar that acts as the “standard candle” in gamma-ray astronomy

3C 273 - quasar at the center of a galaxy in the Virgo cluster

Data for both totaled around 18 hours, the telescope angle ranged from 58-63°

3 regions (0.4°, 0.7°, 0.9°) used for crab and 4 regions (0.3°, 0.5°, 0.7°, 0.9°) used for 3C 273
Results (Crab Nebula)
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Conclusion

By analyzing both, it can be concluded that VEGAS needs further improvement for exclusion regions >0.9°

At 0.9°, systematic errors dominate the background estimation causing the data to not be accurate.

Systematic errors could include statistical error with low counts with telescope acceptance on the edges going down or with having to extrapolate a large area.

Doing this extended source analysis is critical to understanding cosmic ray origin.
Next Steps

Further analysis of how other factors like ring width affect background estimation, as well as debugging why $>1^\circ$ exclusion region fails.

Analysis of HESS J1857+026 to get a good feel for extended source analysis on a known TeV emitter.

Analysis and observation of new LHAASO sources to hopefully find TeV counterparts to pevatrons and understand the new physics that comes along with that.
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