

PULSER TOOLS FOR L1CAL2B

ROBERT KEHOE

L1CAL2B MTG.

MAY 3, 2005

SOUTHERN METHODIST UNIVERSITY
DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS

- GOALS
- STATUS OF 2A SOFTWARE
- CURRENT ROADBLOCKS FOR 2A
- INSTALLATION & COMMISSIONING

GOALS

- Pulser Provides a somewhat physics-like signal
- Useful for
 - debugging, eg. Cabling, ADF boards, BLS electronics
 - Possible timing study
 - Performance measurement of system
 - Relative calibration of same species of electronics
- Use pulser to establish proper function and calibration of 2b trigger
 - removes the important constraint of needing beam
 - Comparatively few events provide useful diagnostics
 - Much of flexibility of using data, although data still necessary for specific debugging needs (eg. Cell-level) and absolute calibration

COMPLETED SO FAR FOR 2A

- Comparison of trig/full readout (Kehoe)
- Pulser unpacking (Unalan, Kehoe)
- tool for presenting pulser mapping for trigger (Renkel)



- Some improvements left
 - Eta-phi maps for specified pattern
 - Maps for combinations of patterns
 - Coordinate transformations
 - TRG<->BLS<->PHYS

- Specified most important quantities to track (Unalan et al.)

L1CALPULSER - 2A

RUN
NUMBER
201724

- An Examine-style executable (derived from L1CalExamine)
 - Provides trigger/precision comparisons + pulser information
- Raw output mostly defined (Unalan)
 - Array of EM/HD Et means and RMS's per pattern

ETA	PHI	1	2	3	4	5
-20		3.51+0.70	2.08+0.81	1.83+0.63	4.04+0.73	3.37+0.58
-19		37.07+0.43	2.76+0.41	3.64+0.70	45.12+0.45	41.07+0.56

- Table of what should have fired EM || HAD
 - Words indicating which cells pulsed per readout tower
 - Mean EM and HAD Et's

ETA	PHI				
-20	:	4	14	22	28
# Cel:	:	20	20	20	20
	:	500	500	500	500
HAD	:	2.03	1.99	2.13	1.89
EM	:	2.04	1.85	2.24	2.00

L1CALPULSER GUI - 2A

- Parse raw output and identify (Renkel)
 - Towers which should have fired but didn't
 - Towers which fired but shouldn't have
 - Current output to text file
- > Active region:
 - > eta fi em had supposed to fire(em/had) depths (from em to had)
 - > -20 9 0 0 nn
 - > 6 12 x ny 000000010000
- Ultimately provide
 - Interface showing problems in eta-phi or pattern
 - Query individual towers : what is behavior?
 - Use L1/precision comparison to specify problem
 - Make comments at smallest granularity possible

PULSER RUNS

- Basic run:
 - each of 32 Run I patterns
 - no improvement in trigger granularity to use Run II patterns
 - DAC = 100 - 150, delay = 0
 - 10 - 100 evts each, all boxes ON
 - Defined by xml and pickle files: pulser control GUI cannot write these files successfully
 - Hopefully fixed this week (Wood, Hohfeld, Renkel)
- A lot of useful development with 2A-only readout
 - Fully exploit pulser granularity: probe sub-TTs fired by different pattern
 - Develop reference tables, plots
 - Timing? time history with multiple runs?
 - More debugging of BLS system, relevant elements of GUI+diagnostics
 - Look at relative calibration for 2A system

L1CAL2B READOUT AND TESTING

- To test 2B electronics
 - need unpacker
 - Once unpacker works,
 - we can implement into L1CalPulser (last major piece)
- Studies can begin to
 - Compare 2B/2A
 - Noise, scale, etc.
 - Compare 2B/full readout
 - debugging
 - Later for final calibration
 - Make statements about ADF, etc. electronics
 - Many questions here
 - What is expected arrangement of hardware?
 - What are specific issues to comment on? (eg. R80 problem in 2A)

WHAT TO LOOK AT?

- For Run 2A
 - Almost all debugging and calibration performed using 4 parameters for each TT
 - Pedestal mean & RMS
 - Gain (TRG/full) mean & RMS
 - Require N-S symmetry, phi-symmetry
 - Using physics data (some pulser use this last several months)
 - Occasionally look at tails of pedestal or gain distributions
 - Ways of identifying specific BLS+cable problems well-established
- For 2B
 - Use of pulser not yet completely understood
 - Use for Calibration especially a question
 - Need to understand specific ways to diagnose 2B electronics

INSTALLATION AND COMMISSIONING

- Probably need to fork off L1CalPulser into separate CVS package
- Prior to shutdown
 - Debug as much BLS electronics as can
 - Implement more mature and transparent plots for this
 - Take from what know already
 - Establish basic principles of debugging 2B electronics and establishing its performance
 - Determine and monitor parameters which define performance of system
 - Define and implement basic hardware plots
- During shutdown
 - Extensive tests to establish full coverage

CALIBRATION

- Prior to shutdown
 - Current calibration determined last in Fall, 2003 by Kehoe/Unalan
 - Some electronics has changed, some channels are fixed
 - Sampling differences of Trig/precision accounted for
 - Eta-dependent corrections to 1%
 - Phi-dependent variations remain – generally 5% variations vs. phi within given eta
 - Measure calibration for current set of 2A electronics
 - Precision measure for all TTs
 - Don't need to correct, just have as calibration baseline during shutdown
 - Measure calibration for whatever 2B electronics available
 - Do electronics/timing issues alter the 2A picture?
 - Compare 2B/2A ratio for real data and for pulser to understand if pulsers telling you anything useful
- During and after shutdown
 - Use pulser and uniformity arguments to establish first pass calibration for 2B
 - Establish final calibration of new system with first data

COMMENTS

- We have some of basic components
 - Fair completeness of raw information
 - some work done to understand analysis
- Unfortunately, the tools to make the most use of the pulser and look at 2B remain unavailable
 - Huge amount of work to do AFTER these are available
 - Delay may impact whether we can accomplish all of what envisioned 6 months ago (eg. Linearity, timing...)
 - Previous slides: mandatory work
 - we need to begin looking at full range of pulser flexibility
 - We need to start looking at and understanding 2B electronics output as seen in readout