

MPGD-TPC resolution from charge dispersion on a resistive anode

M. Dixit^{1,2}, D. Burke¹, R. Carnegie¹, J.-P. Martin³
H. Mes¹, E. Neuheimer¹, A. Rankin¹, K. Sachs¹ & A. Tomkins¹

¹ Carleton University, Ottawa

² TRIUMF, Vancouver & ³ University of Montreal

Session N34, "Gaseous Detectors IV"

IEEE Nuclear Science Symposium Rome, 20 October 2004

MPGD-TPC resolution with charge dispersion

- Electron statistics & transverse diffusion set the fundamental limit on the best achievable TPC resolution.
- **ExB** & track angle systematic effects prevent the anode wire/cathode pad TPC in a magnetic field from getting close to the diffusion limit.
- With negligible **ExB** effects, the Micro Pattern Gas Detector (MPGD) readout could do much better but for the lack of precision in charge centroid determination with conventional $\sim$ mm width TPC readout pads.
- The MPGD-TPC could get better resolution with sub-mm width pads at the expense of a large increase in the detector cost & complexity.
 - For better resolution with $\sim$ mm width TPC pads, the avalanche cluster charge can be dispersed to improve pad centroid precision.
 - For the GEM, added diffusion in the transfer & induction gap does disperse the cluster charge. Prototype GEM-TPCs have so far not got close to the limit of resolution from diffusion & electron statistics.
 - A MPGD with a resistive anode disperses the avalanche charge & may improve the TPC resolution both with the GEM and the Micromegas.
 - The concept, the progress & the status of MPGD-TPC resolution studies with charge dispersion on a resistive anode presented here.




The concept of charge dispersion in a MPGD with a resistive anode

- Modified GEM anode structure with a high resistivity film bonded to the readout plane with an insulating spacer.
- 2-dimensional continuous RC network defined by material properties & geometry.
- Point charge at $r = 0$ & $t = 0$ disperses with time.
- Measure capacitively coupled charge signals on pads below.

Telegraph equation for surface charge density on the 2-dim. continuous RC network:


$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{RC} \left[\frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial r} \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow \rho(r, t) = \frac{RC}{2t} e^{\frac{-r^2 RC}{4t}}$$



Setup for MPGD x-ray studies with a resistive anode

Concept & first results: M.S. Dixit et al., Nucl. Instrum. Methods **A518** (2004) 721.



- Point source $\sim 50 \mu\text{m}$ collimated 4.5 keV x rays.
- 2 mm x 6 mm pads.
- Aleph TPC preamps. $\tau_{\text{Rise}} = 40 \text{ ns}$, $\tau_{\text{Fall}} = 2 \mu\text{s}$.
- DAQ - 500 MHz Tektronix digital scope.
- Anode resistivity $\sim 530 \text{ k}\Omega/\square$, $C \sim 0.22 \text{ pF/mm}^2$.


The GEM charge dispersion signal

Simulation versus measurement

(2 mm x 6 mm pads) Collimated $\sim 50 \mu\text{m}$ 4.5 keV x-ray spot on pad centre.


Detailed simulation includes effects of, longitudinal & transverse diffusion, gas gain, detector pulse formation, charge dispersion & preamplifier rise and fall time effects. For tracks, include effects of unequal primary clusters.

Difference = induced signal (not included in simulation) studied previously:
MPGD '99 (Orsay), LCWS '00



Primary signal: Fast large amplitude main pulse on charge collecting pad. Simulated primary pulse is normalized to the data.

•IEEE NSS Rome 20/10/2004




Secondary signal: The dispersion pulse on the neighboring pad is slower & smaller. Simulated secondary pulse normalization is the same as for the primary.

•M. Dixit


•5

GEM pad response function for a single charge cluster

Simulation versus measurement




Ionization from 50 μm collimated x-rays.



Measured PRF deviates from simulation due to anode RC nonuniformities.

Resistive anode double-GEM spatial resolution

Collimated $\sim 50 \mu\text{m}$ x-ray spot




- GEM resolution $\sim 70 \mu\text{m}$.
- Similar resolution measured for a Micromegas with a resistive anode readout using 2 mm x 6 mm pads

Cosmic ray track resolution of a GEM readout TPC

- 15 cm drift length TPC with double-GEM endcap readout.
- No magnetic field.
- Low diffusion gases chosen- $\text{Ar}:\text{CO}_2/90:10$ & $\text{Ar}:\text{CO}_2/80:20$ to simulate reduced transverse diffusion in a magnetic field.
- LEP-Aleph TPC wire preamps.
- 200 MHz custom 8 bit FADCs.
- 60 tracking pads ($2 \times 6 \text{ mm}^2$) + 2 trigger pads ($6 \times 24 \text{ mm}^2$).

The GEM-TPC resolution was first measured with conventional TPC pad charge readout electronics for Ar/CO_2 (90/10).

• IEEE NSS Rome 20/10/2004



The GEM-TPC resolution was next measured with a resistive anode readout. The resistive anode was the same as was used for x-ray tests.

• M. Dixit

• 8




Cosmic ray simulation with charge dispersion - versus track data

(track Z drift distance ~ 67 mm, Ar/CO₂ 90/10 gas)

2x6 mm² pads


- An exact simulation requires specifying the position & the size of all primary clusters.

➤ *We assume primary electron clusters over a pad have equal size and spacing.*



Centre pad amplitude used for normalization - no other free parameters.

Track pad response function for charge dispersion




Parameters: x & FWHM $\Gamma(z)$

$$PRF(x, FWHM) = \frac{1 + a_1x + a_2x^2}{1 + b_1x + b_2x^2}$$

The pad response function maximum for 14 cm is lower due to Z dependent normalization.

- The pad response function (PRF) was determined from the self consistency of subset of cosmic ray data used for calibration.
- The PRF can be described by a generalized Lorentzian function:

Bias in reconstructed positions from the PRF





- Local non-uniformities in the anode RC lead to $\sim 100 \mu\text{m}$ bias (systematic errors) in position determination. The bias error can be removed by calibration.
- The bias corrections were determined from the calibration data set.
- The bias corrections will be much smaller for a detector with more uniform RC properties.

Bias for row 4 in Ar:CO₂ (90:10)

GEM-TPC transverse spatial resolution for Ar:CO₂ (90:10)

TPC resolution with conventional GEM readout

Resolution with a resistive anode readout





*R.K.Carnegie et.al., physics/0402054
 (Nucl Instrum & Methods A - in press).*

$$\sqrt{\sigma_0^2 + \frac{C_D^2}{N_e} z}$$



Compared to a conventional GEM readout, the resistive anode resolution is better with Z dependence consistent with diffusion & electron statistics.

Electron loss observed for Ar:CO₂(80:20) due to attachment


Ar:CO₂ (90:10)



Ar:CO₂ (80:20)




*Resolution loss in Ar:CO₂ (80:20) for large drift distances
due to electron attachment - Resistive anode GEM readout*



Nevertheless, the Z dependence of resolution is consistent with diffusion & reduced electron statistics with increasing Z.


Transverse diffusion versus electron signal amplitude

Ar:CO₂ (90:10)



Number of electrons

Ar:CO₂ (80:20)



Number of electrons

Measured transverse diffusion for the two gases is reasonable.

Summary & outlook

- Better TPC resolution with GEM with a resistive anode than with a conventional GEM readout.
- The Z dependence of resolution is consistent with diffusion & electron statistics. It should be possible to reduce the $\sim 75 \mu\text{m}$ constant term in the resolution with better electronics & more uniform system RC.
- We are making progress in fabricating resistive anode structures with better RC uniformity.
- We understand the complexities of charge dispersion. The simulation is in good agreement with the data.
- Plans - Micromegas tests & TPC cosmic ray & beam tests in a magnetic field using the charge dispersion signal on a resistive anode.